EXIT CRITERIA FOR THE TEACHER EDUCATION CANDIDATE Fayetteville State University Fayetteville, NC 28301-4298

Candidate		Social Security	/ No		
Address					
Str	reet	City	State	Zip	
Cooperating Administrat	ive Unit				
Teaching Assignment					
	School	Sub	ject/Grade Le	vel	
Clinical Educator (P-12)					
The assignment began		and ended_			
	(month, date, year)		(month, da	ate, year)	
Fayetteville State Clinica	l Educator (EPP)				

The Exit Criteria for the Teacher Education Candidate is guided by the accreditation standards of the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) and the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI). This evaluation incorporates the College of Education's conceptual framework outcomes, the CAEP Standards, the NC Core, Diversity, Technology, and Specialty Area Standards, and the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) Standards.

Teacher Candidates attain levels of competence defined as:

Points Possible	Levels	Criteria Criteria
4	Accomplished	This level reflects only those candidates who possess and demonstrate truly exemplary understanding, mastery, and consistent integration of relevant concepts and skills in their professional practice. Such candidates regularly engage in activities that intentionally and proactively encourage the professional growth of colleagues, continually evaluate their own performance, and actively seek ways to improve their performance.
3	Proficient	This level reflects a candidate who has mastered important concepts and demonstrated the ability to apply these concepts consistently in real-world contexts (e.g., internships). Such candidates have the knowledge and skills to perform effectively. This is the minimum performance level required to be recommended for license.
2	Developing	This level reflects a candidate with the skills and knowledge of a candidate who has successfully completed some teacher education coursework. Such candidates have mastered important concepts and demonstrated the ability to apply these to concrete problems, but in contexts of limited complexity (e.g., case study analysis, simulations, early field experiences, etc.).
1	Emerging	This level reflects a candidate who is a new candidate to the field of education. Such candidates are engaged in their baccalaureate, and perhaps teacher education coursework. However, the <i>Emerging Candidate</i> possesses only a limited or superficial knowledge and awareness of concepts associated with educational concepts.
0	Not Met	This level reflects a candidate does not yet appear to understand the concepts underlying the guidelines. Work on fundamental practices associated with the guidelines is required to enable growth in this area.
*	Cannot Be Determined (CBT)	This criterion cannot be determined or evaluated fairly because of a State of Emergency/Stay at Home order. N/A will be selected by the Clinical Educators (EPP)/University Supervisors in Taskstream.

PERFORMANCE IN CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

Candidate Name		SS#						
Directions: Use the following scale to rate each of the Competency Criteria listed. Circle your overall rating after considering all indictors. Total your responses at the end.								
(*) Cannot Be Determined (CBT)	(0) Not Met	(1) Emerging	(2) Developing	(3) Proficient				
(4) Accomplished								

STANDARD 1

CANDIDATES DEMONSTRATE LEADERSHIP	CBT *	NOT MET 0	EMERGING 1	DEVELOPING 2	PROFICIENT 3	ACCOMPLISHED 4
• Leads in classroom						
Demonstrates leadership in school						
Leads the teaching profession						
Advocates for schools and students						

Total	

STANDARD 2

CANDIDATES ESTABLISH A RESPECTFUL ENVIRONMENT	CBT *	NOT MET 0	EMERGING 1	DEVELOPING 2	PROFICIENT 3	ACCOMPLISHED 4
Provides an environment in which each child has a positive, nurturing relationship with caring adults						
• Embraces diversity in the school community and in the world.						
Adapts their teaching for the benefit of students with special needs.						
Works collaboratively with the families and significant adults in the lives of their students.						

Total		

STANDARD 3

CANDIDATES KNOW THE CONTENT THEY TEACH	CBT *	NOT MET 0	EMERGING 1	DEVELOPING 2	PROFICIENT 3	ACCOMPLISHED 4
Aligns their instruction with the NCSCOS.						
• Knows the content appropriate to their teaching specialty.						
Recognizes the interconnections of content						

STANDARD 4

CANDIDATES FACILITATE	CBT	NOT MET	EMERGING 1	DEVELOPING 2.	PROFICIENT 3	ACCOMPLISHED 4
LEARNING FOR THEIR STUDENTS	*	U	1	2	3	•
 Knows the ways in which learning takes place, and the appropriate levels of intellectual, physical, social, and emotional development of their students. 						
Plans instruction appropriate for their students.						
Uses a variety of instructional methods.						
 Integrates and utilizes technology in their instruction 						
Helps students develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills.						
Helps students work in teams and develop leadership qualities.						
Communicates effectively.						
Uses a variety of methods to assess what each student has learned.						

Total		
и онян		

STANDARD 5

CANDIDATES REFLECT ON THEIR PRACTICE	CBT *	NOT MET 0	EMERGING 1	DEVELOPING 2	PROFICIENT 3	ACCOMPLISHED 4
• Analyzes student learning.						
• Links professional growth to their professional goals.						
Functions effectively in a complex, dynamic environment.						

Functions effectively in a complex, dynamic environment.				
			Total	
COMMENTS				

OVERALL RATING OF ABILITY AS A CLINICAL EXPERIENCE CANDIDATE

Candidate Name			SS#				
POSSIBLE POINTS							
NOT MET	EMERGING	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	ACCOMPLISHED			
0-21	22-38	39-55	56-72	73-88			
0 - 0.4 = F Taskstream Score	0.5 – 1.4 = D Taskstream Score	1.5 – 2.4 = C Taskstream Score	2.5 – 3.4 = B Taskstream Score	3.5 – 4 = A Taskstream Score			
This evaluation was educator (P-12).	prepared cooperativ	vely by the undersign	ed clinical educator	(EPP) and clinical			
Clinica	l Educator (EPP)		Date				
Clinical	Educator (P-12)	<u> </u>	Date				

NCBT I Program - edTPA Professional Growth Plan Summary Information

Candidate Name	

*Please note that an evidence source of the strength/area of growth must be identified and each candidate must have at least one of each for all three tasks areas. Sources include, but are not limited to:

- edTPA artifacts (EA)
- commentaries (C)
- student work samples (SWS)
- clinical observation feedback (COF)
- supervisor evaluation (SE)
- course assignments/assessments (CA)

Tasks	Strength(s)	Area(s) of Growth
(1) Planning	Ex. Candidate is excellent with planning class differentiation. (EA= edTPA Artifacts)	Ex. Candidate needs to use more research-based activities on lesson plans. (COF)
(2) Instruction		
(3) Assessment		